Whitby Mature Neighbourhood Study

Response Matrix for Comments and Submissions Received

| Date Received | Format | From | Comment | |
|------------------|--------|--|--|---|
| | Email | Town of Whitby Urban Design | It might be helpful to include a reference to the overlapping boundaries between the Secondary Plan and Mature Neighbourhood – showing the relationship between Secondary Plan Areas and Mature Neighbourhoods and acting as a reminder to refer to relevant policies. Is there a reference to the hierarchy between the Secondary Plan and the Mature Neighbourhood policies? If there is a discrepancy, which section would override? For example, Downtown Whitby permits max 6 storeys for HD residential, whereas the Mature Neighbourhood policies permits 4 storeys. | The Downtown Whitby Mature Neighbourhood Secondary Plan specify Neighbourhoods shall to policies of Section 4.4.3 Section). Proposed Policy 4.4.3.3 other provisions of the policies and designatio Secondary Plans) vary policies, the Mature Neighbourhood |
| | Email | Town of Whitby Sustainability and Climate Change | The proposed Official Plan Amendment aligns with the Culture and Community Sustainable Design principle of Whitby Green Standard which focuses on nurturing local identity and heritage. The policies included to minimize the loss of mature trees and the need to consider the maintenance of existing mature trees will help protect the cultural heritage of mature neighbours and aligns with the Land Use and Nature Sustainable Design principle of Whitby Green Standard which focuses on protecting land for the benefit of people and wildlife. The following policies of Section 4.4.3.13.17 of the proposed Official Plan Amendment regarding applications for High Density Residential development greater than 4-storeys in in Mature Neighbourhoods support specific criteria of the Whitby Green Standard: Development should be located close to existing or planned transit routes. Development should be located in areas where community services and amenities are within walking distance. With the above in mind, the Sustainability Division is supportive of the Draft Proposed Official Plan Amendment (OPA-2021-W/02) Mature Neighbourhoods Study and appreciate the opportunity for review. | Noted. Thank you. |
| | Email | Town of Whitby Landscaping | Within Policy 4.4.3.13.14, consider substituting the word "maintenance" which implies "caring for" or "looking after" with "preservation" or "protection" to be consistent with policy language used elsewhere. | Wording will be change |
| | | | Within Policy 4.4.3.13.15, consider removing "as well as the loss of mature trees" and revising to "existing mature trees" – the goal is to | Wording will be change shall minimize impacts in relation to privacy, or |

February 2023

Response

by Secondary Plan delineates Low Density ods on Schedule H. The policies of the cify lands designated Low Density Mature II be developed in accordance with the 4.3.4 (New Mature Neighbourhoods

3.13.2 states that notwithstanding any e Official Plan, in instances where the ions of the Official Plan (including ry from the Mature Neighbourhood Neighbourhood policies will prevail.

ged to: <u>"Protection of mature trees".</u>

ged to the following: "New development ts to adjacent and surrounding properties overlook, shadowing, sun reflection, and

| Agency Com | nments | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Date Received | Format | From | Comment | |
| Received | | | minimize impacts to trees versus minimizing impacts to loss of trees (which could imply it best to remove mature trees). | access <u>and existing ma</u> trees. |
| December 21, 2022 | Email | Town of Whitby Engineering | No comments. | Noted. Thank you. |
| January 17, 2023 | Letter Via Email | Region of Durham | Living Areas, Regional Centres, and Regional Corridors Lands within the Living Areas designation shall be developed with a mix of housing types, size and tenure to meet the diverse housing needs of the residents of Durham Region. High density mixed-use developments are encouraged to locate within the Regional Centres designation and adjacent to Regional Corridors and arterial roads to support intensification and efficient transit services, as determined appropriate by the area municipalities. Lands located along Regional Corridors hall be protected for transit-supportive densities to promote pedestrian-friendly urban environment supporting active modes of transportation including walkable communities and cycling. Development proposals within these designations should support residential intensification to achieve the Region's density targets and to optimize existing infrastructure and public services facilities. Region's Cultural Heritage Resources The Study Area contains historic communities with cultural heritage significance that should be conserved. The proposed amendment provides policies that would maintain character of the mature neighbourhoods to protect cultural heritage resources. The Region supports preserving and fostering the attributes of communities and the historic and cultural heritage of the Region. We encourage cultural heritage policies which support preserve, improve, rehabilitate or redevelop older residential areas where there is cultural heritage value. We recognize that the proposed amendment does not deter or preclude higher densities to be accommodated along Regional Corridors and arterial roads as contemplated by the ROP. Proposed land uses within the Study Area will be evaluated to meet the development criteria of the Study. The proposed amendment contributes to preserving and enhancing the character of residential communities to create a strong sense of place for community residents. | The proposed OPA me structure including prov within Living Areas, as Regional Centres and 0 Noted. Thank you. |

February 2023

Response

nature trees as well as loss of mature

neets the intent of the Region's land use oviding for a mix of housing permissions as well as focusing intensification towards d Corridors, as well as arterial roads.

| Agency Comments | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|------|---|---------------------|--|
| Date Received | Format | From | Comment | | |
| | | | The proposed amendment will help streamline the review of new infill developments or redevelopment proposals to ensure that developments reflect the character of the surrounding neighbourhoods. The proposed amendment supports infill and intensification within the Mature Neighbourhoods' boundary where development proposals are in keeping with the existing character of the neighbourhoods. The proposed amendment identifies areas where low density, medium and high densities are supported, and generally aligns with the policy directions of the ROP. The proposed amendment conforms with the ROP. | | |
| | | | Provincial Policy Statement | • Noted. Thank you. | |
| | | | The Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) supports providing a full range of housing and densities to meet current and future housing needs of the residents of Durham Region. The PPS encourages land use patterns be based on densities and mix of land uses which efficiently use land and resources and are appropriate and efficiently use infrastructure and public services facilities which are planned or available, and support active transportation and are transit-supportive. The proposed amendment is intended to manage appropriate locations within the Mature Neighbourhood boundary for future growth. The proposal supports a diverse mix of housing choices and promotes higher densities within transportation corridors to promote transit and to efficiently optimize the use of public infrastructure. | | |
| | | | Growth Plan | • Noted. Thank you. | |
| | | | The Growth Plan supports building healthy and balanced communities and providing a full range of housing to accommodate a range of incomes and household sizes and the use of active transportation. The Growth Plan encourages the development of complete communities to support quality of life and human health and encouraging the development of age-friendly communities. Communities built should provide high quality public open space, parkland recreation, and access to local and healthy food. The proposed amendment supports a diverse mix of housing options and promotes transit-supportive communities by supporting higher densities adjacent to transportation corridors. The proposed amendment focuses on managing growth within the Mature Neighbourhoods boundary and emphasizes orderly development plan. | | |

February 2023

Response

| Agency Con | Agency Comments | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------|---|--|--|--|
| Date Received | Format | From | Comment | | | |
| | | | Transportation The Mature Neighbourhood boundary is located within a 5-10 minute walk (500-800 metres) from the Whitby GO Major Transit Station Area, Highway 2 Rapid Transit Spine, and High Frequency Transit Networks along Brock Street, Rossland Road, and Taunton Road. As the Mature Neighbourhood boundary is located in proximity to existing or proposed transit stops or stations in this area, it is recommended that the proposed amendment or related proposed Urban Design Guidelines include general reference to the promotion of an inviting and pedestrian oriented public realm to enhance connectivity to public transit and active transportation connections and amenities such as cycling routes, sidewalks, and trails. | The OPA directs highers stops. Its intent is to direct the identity of the tenhances the identity of there are other policies and Downtown Second public realm and public connections. Developm Design Guidelines will | | |
| | | | Exemption Status The proposed amendment implements the recommendations of the Mature Neighbourhood Study and will provide planning policies to guide development in specific locations within the boundary of the mature neighbourhoods study area. The proposed amendment is intended to manage urban growth to maintain the character of mature neighbourhoods by encouraging higher density developments to locate adjacent to Regional Corridors and arterial roads. The proposed amendment generally conforms with Regional Official Plan. The proposed amendment does not represent any Regional and/or Provincial concerns and would be exempt from Regional approval. | • Noted. Thank you. | | |
| December 22, 2022 | Email | CLOCA | No comments. | Noted. Thank you. | | |
| November 30, 2022 | Letter Via Email | DDSB | No comments. | Noted. Thank you. | | |
| November 30, 2022 | Email | Enbridge | No comments. | Noted. Thank you. | | |
| December 5, 2022 | Email | Bell | No comments. | Noted. Thank you. | | |

February 2023

Response

ther density towards transit routes and direct built form and site design that ty of existing residential neighbourhoods. cies located throughout the Official Plan ondary Plan that speak to the design of the blic transit and active transportation opment of the future Town-wide Urban will take this comment into consideration.

| Date Received | Format | From | Comment | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| November 21, 2022 | Public Meeting Delegation and Letter | Adam Layton, Evans Planning Subject Properties: Icon | Pre-consultation has occurred and a development application is being prepared for a variety of townhouse dwellings and a mixed-use component on the properties, similar to the adjacent redevelopment on the east side of Sebastian Street. A portion of the property is identified within the Mature Neighbourhoods | The property in its entir Neighbourhoods Study |
| June 6, 2022 | Letter via Email | Taunton Limited - 935 and 945 Taunton Road East, 3557 Garrard | Study Area. The Study explicitly states that the new West Whitby community as well as Employment and Intensification Corridors Areas were excluded from Study. Based on the context of the site and existing policy framework | |
| | | Road and Unaddressed Property '0' Garrard Road | (designated Mixed Use and within an Intensification Corridor), no portion of the subject property should be subject to the Mature Neighbourhoods Study or any associated future policy revisions. Matters of compatibility or transition can be appropriately addressed through the future development application process. | |
| Sept. 22, 2022 | Letter Via Email | Mark McConville, Frontdoor Developments | The policies of the proposed OPA should not apply to projects where the planning approvals process has already begun or applications have already been submitted. The OPA could include transition or | Development application documents in effect at |
| Nov. 21, 2022 | Letter via Email | (Garrard) Inc. Subject Property: 1.9 ha property located on the east side of Garrard Road between Donald Wilson Street and Eric Clark Drive. (Block 189, Plan 40M- 1715, part of Lot 18, Concession 3) | grandfathering policies for sites where planning applications have already been filed. | |
| Sept. 22, 2022 | Letter via Email | Mark McConville, Frontdoor Developments | • The policies of the proposed OPA should not apply to projects where the planning approvals process has already begun or applications have already been submitted. The OPA could include transition or | Development application documents in place at |
| Nov. 21, 2022 | Letter via Email | (Garrard) Inc. Subject Property: 400 Palmerston Avenue | grandfathering policies for sites where planning applications have already been filed. | |

February 2023

Response

ntirety will be removed from the Mature dy Area.

ations will be tested against the policy at the date of the application.

tions will be tested against the policy at the date of the application.

| Format | From | Comment | |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Email | Sheila Thomson 113 Raglan Street | Resident of a Mature Neighbourhood directly adjacent to an Intensification Corridor with an ongoing concern for what can be built along Dundas Street West. What is the impact to my property in relation to an Intensification Corridor? | Dundas Street is identif Properties along Dunda Neighbourhoods Study Official Plan contains e Corridors which would heights for new resider from 2 storeys to 8 stor |
| | | What does Policy 4.4.13.9 mean? | Policy 4.4.13.9 states t "The prevailing chara Neighbourhoods (i.e. Corridors and Intensi the existing character interior of a Mature N |
| | | | This policy notes that Neighbourhoods may interior of Mature Neig edges should not be u the interior of the Matu redevelopment in the should consider its dir types and densities, lo elements of properties Intensification Corrido the existing neighbour instances. |
| | | • What does "applying a 45-degree angular plane from any property line abutting a low-density residential dwelling" mean? Has it relevance to potential development projects on the Intensification Corridor which abuts my property? | The use of a 45-degree form strategies that car building on adjacent low 45 degree angular plan property line of a low ris building. It is one meas building provides transi as overlook, privacy an |
| | Format Email | Email Sheila Thomson | Email Sheila Thomson 113 Raglan Street • Resident of a Mature Neighbourhood directly adjacent to an Intensification Corridor with an ongoing concern for what can be built along Dundas Street West. • What is the impact to my property in relation to an Intensification Corridor? • What is the impact to my property in relation to an Intensification Corridor? • What does Policy 4.4.13.9 mean? • What does "applying a 45-degree angular plane from any property line abutting a low-density residential dwelling" mean? Has it relevance to potential development projects on the Intensification Corridor Which |

February 2023

Response

tified as an Intensification Corridor. das Street are outside of the Mature dy Area. Section 4.7.2 of the Whitby existing policies for Intensification d apply. According to Policy 4.2.7.3, ential and mixed use buildings may range preys.

the following:

racter along the edges of Mature e., abutting arterial roads, Intensification sification Areas) shall not be determinative of er when considering new development in the Neighbourhood."

t the character along the edges of Mature y be different than the character in the highbourhoods. That character along the used to determine the character within ature Neighbourhoods. Development and e interior of Mature Neighbourhoods lirect surroundings and not the building lot patterns and other defining character es along arterial roads and adjacent lors and Areas as greater variation from urhood character is permitted in these

ee angular plane is one of several built an help lessen the impact of a taller ow rise dwellings and neighbourhoods. A ane is measured from the adjacent rise building to the top of the proposed asurable method to ensure the proposed sition down and mitigates impacts such and shadowing.

| Date Received | opment Com Format | From | Comment | |
|------------------|----------------------|------|--|--|
| | | | Is Official Plan Policy 4.2.7.3 the only relevant information for me on what can be built on Dundas Street West? | RearLot Line A 45-degree angular pladevelopment on Intensiout within Policy 4.4.2.9 Intensification requires transition of height and Partly, Policy 4.2.7.3 set Intensification Corridors includes a range of buil This policy notes that ta Density Residential developments of Section designation for each intensification for each intensite dictates what can be determined by the section of the section of |

February 2023



ine

plane is not a specific requirement for asification Corridors, however criteria set 2.9.2 for Redevelopment and as development to achieve an appropriate ad density.

sets out what can be developed on ors (including Dundas Street West) which uilding types between 2 and 8 storeys. taller buildings (Medium and High evelopment) must also meet the on 4.4.3.9.2. However, the Land Use individual site (Residential, Commercial, ited on Official Plan Schedule A also developed.

| Public/Devel Date Received | Format | From | Comment | |
|----------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Nov. 20, 2022 | Email | Linda Gasser 111 Ferguson Street | • Work done on this Study predates recent Provincial proposals and does not consider the range of impacts arising from Bill 23. | Bill 23 has not imposed OPA. |
| | | | Green Standards In addition to Architectural Guidelines, there should be a focus on Green Building Standards for new and replacement buildings across Whitby – including within the Mature Neighbourhoods Study Area. Language on the Town's website around Green Building Standards makes it unclear to determine their status. What planning tools would allow for Architectural Guidelines and Green Standards to be required? If Bill 23 passes as written, Site Plan Control options may be limited. Would Council/Staff consider requiring both Architectural Guidelines and Green Standards via Zoning By-laws? | The Whitby Green Star Draft Plan of Subdivision these applications in th to other types of develop |
| | | | Tree Protection We must protect our trees – they are an integral component of what makes mature neighbourhoods desirable and liveable – most on private property. Whitby provides no protection for individual trees on private properties on sites less than 0.2 hectares – most lot sizes would be smaller. The Town needs to consider and implement a Tree By-law, expanded to include all private properties to help guide the form of development – especially now that 3 units per property will be considered as-of-right. | The following motion w Committee of the Whol opportunity to protect tr order to preserve Whith |
| | | | Housing Affordability Many of the small bungalows in Mature Neighbourhoods started as very affordable average family housing options. Larger replacement homes are in some cases built to flip and profit at unaffordable prices (e.g. large lot severances that result in two large houses that should be discouraged as they are not only out of character and scale, but often result in mature tree removal and are not affordable). Duplexes and triplexes can take up less lot area, allowing for mature tree preservation and generally more affordable, while also providing for much needed rental units. | Comments noted. Than also now allow up to th |

February 2023

Response

ed any direct impacts to the proposed

tandard (WGS) applies to Site Plan and sion applications. It would apply to any of the study area. The WGS does not apply elopment e.g. replacement dwellings.

was carried at the February 27, 2023 nole meeting: That staff report back on the t trees of significance on private lands in nitby's urban forest canopy.

ank you. The changes imposed by Bill 23 three units in all dwellings.

| Date Received | Format | From | Comment | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---|--|---|
| Received Nov. 21, 2022 | Letter via Email | Alfiya Kakal, Weston Consulting Subject Property: 38 Elizabeth Crescent | The subject property is located at the edge of a Mature Neighbourhood and adjacent to an Intensification Area. Pre-consultation occurred in early 2022 to discuss the proposed four-storey stacked townhouse block comprised of 16 units fronting onto Crawford Street. OPA Policy 4.4.3.13.11 and 4.4.3.13.12 require appropriate locations for Medium Density and High Density Residential development which is at the edges of Mature Neighbourhoods, along arterial roads and abutting Intensification Corridors or Intensification Areas. However, there are certain locations within the Study Area that would constitute an edge condition but are not specifically along an arterial road that would be appropriate for Medium or High Density Residential development. It is our understanding that Policies 4.4.3.13.11 and 4.4.3.13.12 do not preclude redevelopment on a site that constitutes an edge condition but is not along an arterial road, as long as the site abuts an Intensification Corridor or an Intensification Area. | Agreed. Policy 4.4.3.13 to clarify that Medium a development may occu Intensification Corridors Policy 4.4.3.13.11: "Medi be directed to the edges along arterial roads and g Intensification Areas_ and to the policies of Section section" Policy 4.4.3.13.12: "High directed to the edges of I along arterial roads and g Intensification Areas_ and to the policies of Section section" |
| | | | To provide for additional flexibility in the locational requirements, Policies 4.4.3.13.11 and 4.4.3.13.12 are recommended to be amended such that Medium Density and High Density Residential developments are permitted at the edges of Mature Neighbourhoods along arterial roads and <u>or</u> abutting Intensification Corridors and Intensification Areas. | |
| Nov. 21, 2022 | Letter via Email | Rodger Miller, Miller Planning Services Subject Property: 1902 Rossland Road | The subject property is located where there are a number of large lots that present opportunities for suitable redevelopment and intensification. Pre-consultation has occurred with plans to demolish the existing structure and provide additional ground-oriented dwellings. Current plans are considering some additional wide shallow single family lots fronting onto Garrard with single car driveways and typical rear yard depths. The property has the opportunity to produce a number of two storey dwellings. | Rossland Road is an a variation in lot size and existing development. An appropriate transitic accommodated in a va by site basis. The intent of the new M |
| | | | Concern for the proposed OPA; specifically Policy 4.4.3.13.5, 4.4.3.13.6, 4.4.3.13.8 and 4.4.3.13.14 which may make the current proposal not permissible. The proposal will not be able to match the lot depths, areas and coverages, density or front yard setback of those lots on either side of them. The Recommendation Report also speaks to maintaining established front yards, lot coverages, etc. in proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Zoning By-law. | alongside the existing I policies of Section 4.4.3 |

February 2023

Response

13.11 and 4.4.3.13.12 have been revised and High Density Residential cur along arterial roads or abutting prs/Areas

edium Density Residential development shall es of Mature Neighbourhoods <u>which includes</u> el <u>or</u> abutting Intensification Corridors <u>or</u> and development <u>Development</u> shall be subject on 4.4.3.5 and 4.4.3.9 in addition to this

gh Density Residential development shall be of Mature Neighbourhoods <u>which includes</u> et <u>or</u> abutting Intensification Corridors <u>or</u> and development <u>Development</u> shall be subject on 4.4.3.6 and 4.4.3.9 in addition to this

arterial road and therefore greater nd existing character is permitted from

tion in height and density can be /ariety of ways, to be dealt with on a site

Mature Neighbourhood policies is to work g Redevelopment and Intensification 4.3.9.2.

| Public/Deve | opment Co | omments | | |
|------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Date Received | Format | From | Comment | |
| | | | Proposed Policy 4.4.3.13.8 suggests a "greater variation from the existing neighbourhood character may be permitted" abutting arterial roads and Intensification Corridors/Area, provided an "appropriate transition in height and density" is created. It is not clear what an appropriate transition would be. Official Plan Policy 4.4.3.9.2.h already provides consideration for redevelopment and intensification proposals. Under the existing Official Plan policies, the applicant must make their case as to the appropriateness of their redevelopment and intensification proposals to be reviewed by Staff (per Section 4.4.3.9.2). The existing policies provide a sound policy basis to protect Mature Neighbourhoods. | |
| Nov. 21, 2022 | Letter via Email | Rodger Miller, Miller Planning Services Subject Property: 780 Garden Street | Previous deputation was made at Planning Committee with respect to the ICBL and the intent to develop a portion of the lands as a condominium townhouse project, retaining the Thompson House on the northern portion. Commentary at Committee saw merit in the proposal for intensification, given it fronting two arterial roads and not needing to rely on local road connections. Pre-consultation has already occurred and applications are now nearing completion. | • The edges of neighbour roads and abutting Interhigher density develop located at the corner of high density up to 4 storthese arterial roads, a gexisting dwelling types |
| Nov. 21, 2022 | Public Meeting Delegation | | • Concern for the proposed OPA; specifically Policy 4.4.3.13.5, 4.4.3.13.6, 4.4.3.13.8, 4.4.3.13.14 and 4.4.3.13.16 which may make the current proposal not permissible. | • An appropriate transition accommodated in a variable by site basis. |
| | | | • Proposed Policy 4.4.3.13.5 and 4.4.3.13.16 could suggest that the existing character of the neighbourhood is single detached dwellings. This would depend on the definition for limits of the neighbourhood. Section 4.4.3.9 already provides appropriate tests for redevelopment and intensification proposals. | |
| | | | • Proposed Policy 4.4.3.13.8 suggests a "greater variation from the existing neighbourhood character may be permitted" abutting arterial roads and Intensification Corridors/Area, provided an "appropriate transition in height and density" is created. It is not clear what an appropriate transition would be. | |
| | | | • Proposed Policy 4.4.3.13.14 along with other proposed policies require new development conform to "the exist physical character of the immediate street block" as it relates to lot ranges, sizes, coverages, building type, etc. The term "immediate street block" is not defined and it is unclear how the policy is to be applied. This policy would seem to undermine the more progressive intentions of Policy 4.4.3.13.8 which permits Medium Density along arterial roads. | |

February 2023

Response

ourhoods are generally defined by arterial ntensification Areas and Corridors, where opment is permitted. The subject site is of two arterial roads, where medium and storeys is permitted by the OPA. Along a greater transition in character from the es and lot fabrics is permitted.

tion in height and density can be /ariety of ways, to be dealt with on a site

| Date Received | opment Com Format | From | Comment | |
|------------------|----------------------|------|---|--|
| Received | | | Under proposed Policy 4.4.3.13.16, it seems to suggest that a road connection to local road Mayfield Crescent is encouraged. One of the strengths of the proposed development is that it provides transit supportive densities along two arterial roads, is within 500m of a Central Area and does not require any road connections to the adjacent road. This policy needs to be more permissive and allow for greater flexibility with respect to connecting to the existing road network. The last bullet of Policy 4.4.3.13.16 states that within proposed condominium developments, a transition of lot sizes is required within the plan and adjacent to existing development. If we understand the application of this policy correctly to our client's proposed development they would need one or some larger townhouse lots or even single detached units adjacent to Mayfield Crescent. An explanation for this requirement would be helpful. This proposed policy requirement appears to have eliminated previously accepted land use planning design solutions being applied to transitional areas of housing form and density by simply mandating a matching lot size within the new development. This policy also seems to run contrary to the proposed policies 4.4.3.13.4 and 4.4.3.15 where assurances are given that context-sensitive and compatible development does not mean that new development must "imitate or replicate" existing development. We have difficulty understanding this overly restrictive policy requirement when other activities and the original area for a policies for the state of the proposed policies for the proposed policies and the proposed policies applied to respective policy requirement when other activities and compatible development does not mean that new development must "imitate or replicate" existing development. We have difficulty understanding this overly restrictive policy requirement when other activities area directed applicate of a policies the accelerate or proposed policies to a policy requirement when <td> The policy encourages surrounding grid pattern interconnected road ne on a site specific basis as also applicable for a been updated within thi established character of </td> | The policy encourages surrounding grid pattern interconnected road ne on a site specific basis as also applicable for a been updated within thi established character of |
| | | | other solutions are likely available particularly in the context of an example such as our clients proposal for their lands. | |

February 2023

Response

es a seamless extension of the terns as much as possible, though an network. However, this will be assessed sis whether this is needed or appropriate – r all bullets under this policy. Wording has this policy from the need to "maintain" the er of lots to "complement.

| Date Bocoivod | Format | From | Comment | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Received December 19, 2022 | Letter via Email | Rob MacFarlane, Zelinka Priamo Ltd. – Planning Consultants for Choice Properties REIT for the Town of Whitby Official Plan Review Subject Property:3555 | Height Restrictions Requiring an Official Plan Amendment for development over 4 storeys based on the criteria set out in Policy 4.4.3.13.17 is an overly onerous requirement, given the Draft OPA establishes there are instances where higher height is warranted. A Zoning By-law Amendment is a more appropriate process to consider applications for development beyond 4 storeys. We suggest Policy 4.4.13.13 be revised to replace "amendment to this Plan" with "amendment to the Zoning By-law". | • The requirement for an development is evaluate the criteria set out for the are instances where his criteria will ensure this compatible with its sure |
| | | Thickson Road North | As a result of Bill 23, we understand the Minister will eventually be the approval authority for future Amendments to the Town's Official Plan – therefore the Minister will be required to approve any application along an arterial road within the Mature Neighbourhood Study Area for heights beyond 4 storeys | Until the regulations ar approval authority for l |
| | | "should generally" so that it reads: "High Densi | • We suggest Policy 4.4.3.13.12 should be revised to replace "shall" with "should generally" so that it reads: "High Density Residential development within Mature Neighbourhoods should generally have a maximum height of 4 storeys". | This revision would ch permit up to 4 storeys |
| | | Criteria for Additional Height Certain criteria for development above 4 storeys set out in Policy 4.4.3.13.17 are inappropriate or overly onerous: <i>"High Density Residential development outside of Central Areas and/or Intensification Areas and Corridors should not compete or detract from the potential to establish these uses in areas designated for intensification"</i>. It is unclear how this criteria is to be measured or determined – in particular, how is one to measure "potential". We suggest removal of this criteria. | • This criteria was extract 4.4.3.9.3. | |
| | | | <i>"Development shall be within a 45 degree angular plane from any property line abutting a Low Density Residential dwelling".</i> This is an overly onerous standard, and we recommend that "shall" be replaced with "should generally". | A 45-degree angular p across municipalities to The size of the subject degree angular plane to |
| | | | Lack of Clarity for Specific Policy Policy 4.4.3.13.11 and 4.4.3.13.12 are unclear as to where Medium Density and High Density development are to be directed. The policies should be revised to clarify that higher density development is directed to lands along arterial roads and at the edges of Mature | |

February 2023

Response

an Official Plan Amendment will ensure uated on a case-by-case basis based on or the location and transition. While there higher height is warranted, the proposed his is carried out in such a way that is surroundings.

are passed, it is unclear who will be the r local OPAs.

change the intent of the policy to only /s without an Official Plan Amendment.

racted from existing Official Plan Policy

r plane requirement is a standard tool used s to accommodate compatible built form. ect site would be able to achieve a 45e to adjacent properties.

| Public/Devel | opment Cor | nments | | |
|------------------|------------|--------|--|--|
| Date Received | Format | From | Comment | |
| | | | Neighbourhoods. The lack of punctuation in the policy modifies its interpretation, wherein it appears to be conditional upon being both along an arterial road and at the edge of the Mature Neighbourhood. Revisions to the policy are suggested as follows (punctuation added with [,] notation) Policy 4.4.3.13.11: "Medium Density Residential development shall be directed to the edges of Mature Neighbourhoods[,] along arterial roads[,] and abutting Intensification Corridors and Intensification Areas[,] and development shall be subject to the policies of Section 4.4.3.5 and 4.4.3.9[,] in addition to this section" Policy 4.4.3.13.12: "High Density Residential development shall be directed to the edges of Mature Neighbourhoods[,] along arterial roads[,] and abutting Intensifications Corridors and Intensification Areas[,] and development shall be subject to the policies of Section 4.4.3.5 and 4.4.3.9[,] in addition to this section" | Agreed. Policy 4.4.3.13 to clarify that Medium a development may occu Intensification Corridor Policy 4.4.3.13.11: "Medi be directed to the edges along arterial roads and g Intensification Areas_ and to the policies of Section section" Policy 4.4.3.13.12: "High directed to the edges of I along arterial roads and g Intensification Areas_ and g Intensification Areas_ and to the policies of Section section |
| | | | Implications of Bill 23 and Provincial Policy We seek clarification if the Draft OPA will be amended as a result of Bill 23. Based on our review, it is unclear how the Draft OPA, as currently proposed, is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement or conforms to the Growth Plan, given the restrictive nature of the Draft OPA as it relates to strict limitations on height and inappropriate development standards, in light of Provincial policies that encourage intensification as a means of growth. We seek additional justification related to the PPS and Growth Plan. | Bill 23 does not pose a Both the Provincial Pol municipalities to identif opportunities for intensi development standard compact built form. The Strategic Growth Areas Neighbourhoods) and unlimited. The Town ha areas and intensification Areas). Outside Strates take into account exist as well as establish stat characteristics of differ |

February 2023

Response

13.11 and 4.4.3.13.12 have been revised and High Density Residential cur along arterial roads or abutting brs/Areas

dium Density Residential development shall s of Mature Neighbourhoods <u>which includes</u> d <u>or</u> abutting Intensification Corridors <u>or</u> nd development <u>Development</u> shall be subject n 4.4.3.5 and 4.4.3.9 in addition to this

h Density Residential development shall be f Mature Neighbourhoods <u>which includes</u> d <u>or</u> abutting Intensification Corridors <u>or</u> nd development <u>Development</u> shall be subject n 4.4.3.6 and 4.4.3.9 in addition to this

any direct impacts to the OPA. olicy Statement and Growth Plan direct tify appropriate locations and asification, as well as create appropriate ds that facilitate intensification and he Province directs intensification first to as (areas outside of Mature d does not note that intensification is has previously identified intensification ion corridors (the Strategic Growth tegic Growth Areas municipalities must sting building stock and appropriate areas, tandards depending on the area and erent locations.